

# Enhancing ethical hiring practices: a remedy for academic narcissism

Rashya Kasaeiyan

Faculty of Education and Psychology, Shiraz University, Iran

## Abstract

In the contemporary academic landscape, ethical hiring practices are increasingly recognized for their critical role in countering academic narcissism, which is a condition marked by an excessive focus on personal achievements and self-importance, often undermining collaborative and community-oriented values. This review delves into the mechanisms by which ethical hiring can mitigate these narcissistic tendencies, emphasizing the importance of fostering an academic environment that prioritizes integrity, diversity, and genuine scholarly contributions. By examining the intersection of hiring practices and academic culture, the discussion highlights how strategic recruitment can serve as a foundation for a more inclusive and ethically grounded academic community.

## Introduction

The relationship between ethical hiring practices and academic narcissism is a complex and multifaceted topic that has significant implications for the integrity and effectiveness of educational institutions.<sup>1,2</sup> Ethical hiring practices refer to the fair, transparent, and equitable processes used by organizations to recruit and select employees.<sup>3</sup> These practices are designed to ensure that candidates are evaluated based on their qualifications, skills, and potential contributions to the institution, rather than on personal biases or unethical considerations.<sup>4</sup> Academic narcissism, on the other hand, is a term used to describe individuals within academic settings who exhibit an inflated sense of self-importance, entitlement, and a need for admiration.<sup>5</sup> Such individuals often prioritize their own success and recognition over collaborative efforts and the broader goals of the institution. This behavior can lead to toxic work environments, hinder teamwork, and ultimately compromise the quality of education provided.<sup>5-8</sup>

The intersection of ethical hiring practices and academic narcissism becomes particularly relevant when considering how faculty members are selected within educational institutions.<sup>6,9</sup> Ethical hiring practices aim to identify candidates who not only possess the necessary academic credentials but also demonstrate a commitment to collaboration, integrity, and the mission of the institution. However, when academic narcissism infiltrates these processes, either through biased decision-makers or self-promoting candidates, the result can be detrimental.<sup>10-12</sup> For instance, if hiring committees prioritize candidates who exhibit narcissistic traits because they appear confident or have an impressive personal brand, this can skew the selection process away from more qualified or team-oriented individuals.<sup>11</sup> Over time, this may lead to an institutional culture where individual achievements are valued over collective success and cooperation.<sup>13,14</sup> Furthermore, when academic narcissists secure positions through unethical means, such as exploiting personal connections or manipulating evaluation criteria, it undermines trust in the hiring process.<sup>15,16</sup> Other faculty members may become disillusioned or disengaged if they perceive that promotions or appointments are not based on merit but rather on self-promotion or favoritism.<sup>11,15,16</sup>

To mitigate these issues, it is crucial for educational institutions to implement robust ethical guidelines in their hiring processes.<sup>2-4</sup> This includes establishing clear criteria for evaluating candidates' qualifications beyond their individual accolades, such as their ability to work collaboratively with others, their commitment to ethical research practices, and their dedication to student development.<sup>10-12</sup> Additionally, training for hiring committees should emphasize recognizing and counteracting biases that may favor narcissistic traits over more communal attributes.<sup>17</sup> Institutions can also benefit from fostering an organizational culture that values humility, empathy, and teamwork as much as it does individual achievements.<sup>18,19</sup>

Correspondence: Rashya Kasaeiyan, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.  
E-mail: rashyakasaeian@gmail.com

Key words: academic narcissism, ethics, practice.

Conflict of interest: the author declares that she has no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: not applicable.

Informed consent: not applicable.

Patient consent for publication: not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: all data are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Funding: the author received no specific funding for this work.

Received: 12 May 2025.

Accepted: 12 May 2025.

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2025

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Mental Wellness 2025; 3:27

doi:10.4081/mw.2025.27

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

Therefore, understanding the relationship between ethical hiring practices and academic narcissism is essential for creating equitable academic environments that value diversity and inclusivity.<sup>2,4</sup> By prioritizing ethical standards in recruitment processes, educational institutions not only enhance their own credibility but also contribute positively towards building a more just society where talent is recognized based on merit rather than personal connections or self-serving agendas.<sup>10-12</sup>

## Understanding academic narcissism

Academic narcissism is a phenomenon that has been gaining increasing attention in educational and scholarly circles.<sup>5</sup> It refers to the excessive self-centeredness and grandiosity exhibited by some individuals within academic settings, often characterized by an inflated sense of one's own importance, abilities, and achievements.<sup>5,20</sup> This behavior can manifest in various forms, such as aggression, the relentless pursuit of recognition, the need for constant admiration from peers, or the tendency to undermine others' contributions to maintain a superior status.<sup>5,15,16,20-22</sup> To gain a comprehensive understanding of academic narcissism, it is essential to explore its underlying causes and examine the negative effects it can have on both individuals and academic communities.

## Causes of academic narcissism

Understanding the causes of this form of narcissism requires a multifaceted exploration of various factors that contribute to its development.<sup>23</sup> One significant cause is the competitive nature of academia itself. In many academic institutions, there is relentless pressure to publish papers, secure funding, and achieve recognition through awards and accolades. This intense competition can foster an environment where individuals feel compelled to excessively emphasize their achievements to stand out among their peers. As a result, some academics may develop narcissistic tendencies as a coping mechanism to navigate this high-pressure environment.<sup>1,24,25</sup> Additionally, the "publish or perish" culture prevalent in many universities can exacerbate narcissistic traits as academics compete for limited resources and opportunities.<sup>26</sup> Another contributing factor is the hierarchical structure common in many academic settings. Often, there is a strong emphasis on titles, positions, and ranks within universities and research institutions. This hierarchy can create a culture where self-promotion becomes necessary for career advancement. Academics might adopt narcissistic behaviors to climb the professional ladder more swiftly or maintain their status within this rigid structure.<sup>1,27,28</sup> Moreover, the rise of social media and digital platforms has exacerbated academic narcissism.<sup>29</sup> These platforms provide academics with opportunities to showcase their work to a broader audience than ever before. While this visibility can be beneficial for knowledge dissemination, it also encourages self-aggrandizement as individuals curate online personas that highlight their successes while minimizing failures or setbacks. This digital amplification can further inflate one's sense of self-importance.<sup>30,31</sup> Additionally, personal factors such as personality traits play a crucial role in the development of academic narcissism. Individuals with pre-existing narcissistic traits may be naturally drawn to academia due to its potential for recognition and prestige.<sup>5,8,32</sup> Furthermore, experiences during formative years, such as excessive praise from parents or educators, can reinforce these traits over time.<sup>33</sup> Psychological factors, such as low self-esteem or insecurity, can also lead individuals to adopt narcissistic traits as a coping mechanism.<sup>34</sup> By projecting an

image of confidence and superiority in academia, they attempt to mask underlying feelings of inadequacy or fear of failure.<sup>35</sup> Lastly, institutional policies and cultural norms within academia can inadvertently promote narcissistic behavior.<sup>36</sup> For example, reward systems that prioritize individual accomplishments over collaborative efforts may encourage self-centeredness among academics.<sup>37</sup> Similarly, cultures that idolize "star" researchers without acknowledging team contributions may inadvertently validate narcissistic tendencies.<sup>6,38,39</sup>

## Negative effects of academic narcissism

Academic narcissism can have several negative effects on both individuals and the broader academic community.<sup>5,15,16,20-22</sup> Firstly, this phenomenon can create a toxic environment within educational institutions.<sup>13,16</sup> Individuals who exhibit narcissistic tendencies may prioritize their own success over collaborative efforts, leading to strained relationships with colleagues and peers.<sup>5</sup> This self-centered approach can undermine teamwork, as these individuals may dismiss the contributions of others or take undue credit for group achievements. Such behavior not only fosters resentment but also discourages open communication and collaboration, which are essential for academic progress and innovation.<sup>40,41</sup> Moreover, academic narcissism can hinder personal growth and development. When individuals are overly focused on their own accomplishments, they may become resistant to constructive criticism or feedback that is crucial for improvement. This resistance can result in stagnation, as they fail to recognize areas where they need to grow or adapt.<sup>38</sup> Additionally, the pressure to maintain a flawless image can lead to anxiety and burnout, as individuals feel compelled to constantly prove their superiority. The negative effects of academic narcissism extend beyond personal relationships and individual well-being; they also impact the integrity of research and scholarship.<sup>42</sup> Narcissistic academics may be more inclined to engage in unethical practices such as data manipulation or plagiarism to maintain their status or achieve recognition. This compromises the quality and reliability of research findings, ultimately eroding public trust in academic institutions.<sup>43</sup> Furthermore, the prevalence of academic narcissism can perpetuate a culture of elitism within academia. When success is measured primarily by individual accolades rather than collective contributions or societal impact, it reinforces hierarchical structures that marginalize diverse voices and perspectives. This exclusionary environment stifles creativity and innovation by limiting the range of ideas considered valuable or worthy of exploration.<sup>1,27,28</sup>

## The relationship between university hiring practices and academic narcissism

The relationship between university hiring practices and academic narcissism is a complex and multifaceted issue that has garnered increasing attention in recent years.<sup>44-46</sup> As universities strive to maintain their reputation and competitive edge, the criteria they use to select faculty members can inadvertently foster a culture of narcissism within academia.<sup>44</sup> This phenomenon is characterized by an excessive focus on self-promotion, prestige, and personal achievement, often at the expense of collaboration, humility, and genuine intellectual curiosity.<sup>5,20</sup> At the core of this issue is the emphasis placed on metrics such as publication counts, citation indices, and grant acquisition during the hiring process. These quantitative measures are often used as proxies for academic

excellence, but can encourage behaviors associated with narcissism.<sup>47,48</sup> For instance, candidates may feel pressured to prioritize quantity over quality in their research outputs or engage in strategic authorship practices that inflate their perceived impact. This environment can create a cycle where those who excel at self-promotion are more likely to be hired, thus perpetuating a culture that values image over substance.<sup>49</sup> Moreover, the competitive nature of academic job markets exacerbates this issue. With an oversupply of qualified candidates vying for limited positions, individuals may resort to self-aggrandizing tactics to stand out. This can include exaggerating achievements or selectively highlighting successes while downplaying failures or collaborative efforts. Such behaviors are symptomatic of academic narcissism and can lead to a toxic work environment where cooperation and collegiality are undermined.<sup>38</sup> Additionally, the focus on high-impact publications and prestigious grants can skew research priorities towards trendy or safe topics that are more likely to garner attention rather than novel or risky endeavors that could advance knowledge significantly. This not only stifles innovation but also reinforces the notion that academic worth is tied to external validation rather than intrinsic scientific merit.<sup>50</sup> Universities must recognize these dynamics and consider revising their hiring practices to mitigate the rise of academic narcissism.<sup>5,20</sup> This could involve placing greater emphasis on holistic evaluations that consider teaching effectiveness, mentorship capabilities, and contributions to community service alongside traditional research metrics. Encouraging diverse perspectives and valuing interdisciplinary collaborations could also help counteract the individualistic tendencies fostered by current systems.<sup>15,20,38,44,51-54</sup> In summary, while university hiring practices play a crucial role in shaping the academic landscape, they must be carefully designed to promote genuine scholarly engagement rather than superficial displays of competence. By fostering environments that value integrity, collaboration, and diverse contributions, academia can better serve its mission of advancing knowledge for the greater good.

## Strategies for enhancing ethical hiring practices to mitigate academic narcissism

One crucial approach to addressing academic narcissism is through enhancing ethical hiring practices.<sup>55,56</sup> By implementing thoughtful and deliberate strategies in the recruitment process, institutions can mitigate the impact of academic narcissism and foster a more inclusive and productive environment.<sup>5,20</sup> Here are several strategies that can be employed.

### Establishing clear ethical guidelines

The foundation of any ethical hiring practice lies in well-defined guidelines that outline the principles and standards expected during recruitment. Institutions should develop comprehensive policies that emphasize transparency, fairness, and inclusivity. These guidelines should be regularly reviewed and updated to reflect evolving ethical considerations in academia.<sup>57-60</sup>

### Promoting diversity and inclusion

A diverse academic workforce not only enriches the educational environment but also helps counteract narcissistic tendencies by valuing varied perspectives over individual acclaim. Institutions should actively seek candidates from different backgrounds, disciplines, and experiences to foster a culture of inclusivity. This can be achieved through targeted outreach programs, partnerships with

minority-serving institutions, and unbiased evaluation criteria.<sup>61</sup>

### Implementing blind recruitment processes

To minimize biases that may favor individuals with a more pronounced self-presentation or perceived prestige, blind recruitment processes can be instrumental. By anonymizing applications during initial review stages (removing names, gender identifiers, and other personal information), committees can focus on candidates' qualifications and potential contributions without preconceived notions.<sup>62-64</sup>

### Emphasizing collaborative achievements

During the hiring process, greater emphasis should be placed on candidates' collaborative work rather than solely on individual accolades. Evaluating team projects, co-authored publications, and interdisciplinary initiatives highlights an applicant's ability to work effectively with others, which is a crucial trait for combating narcissistic behaviors in academia.<sup>5,20</sup>

### Training hiring committees on unconscious bias

Unconscious biases can significantly impact hiring decisions, often favoring candidates who exhibit traits associated with academic narcissism, such as self-promotion or assertiveness. Providing training sessions for hiring committees on recognizing and mitigating these biases ensures more equitable evaluations based on merit rather than personality traits.<sup>65-67</sup>

### Encouraging ethical self-assessment among candidates

Candidates themselves play a role in maintaining ethical standards during recruitment. Encouraging applicants to reflect on their own motivations and behaviors through self-assessment tools or questionnaires can help identify those who prioritize communal success over personal gain.<sup>68,69</sup>

### Establishing accountability mechanisms

To ensure adherence to ethical practices, institutions must establish mechanisms for accountability throughout the hiring process. This includes creating channels for reporting unethical behavior or biases observed during recruitment, as well as conducting regular audits of hiring practices to identify areas for improvement.<sup>70</sup>

### Fostering a culture of ethics within academia

Cultivating an ethical culture within academic environments requires commitment from leadership at all levels. University administrators must prioritize ethical hiring as part of their broader institutional mission and provide adequate resources to support these efforts. Faculty members involved in recruitment should be encouraged to engage in ongoing discussions about ethics in hiring and share best practices with colleagues.<sup>71,72</sup>

### Promoting transparency

Academic institutions should clearly communicate the criteria for selection and the steps involved in the hiring process to all applicants. This includes providing detailed job descriptions that outline the necessary qualifications and responsibilities associated with each position. Furthermore, maintaining open lines of communication with candidates throughout the recruitment process



helps build trust and respect between applicants and institutions.<sup>73,74</sup>

## Conclusions

All in all, mitigating academic narcissism through enhanced ethical hiring practices is essential for fostering a more inclusive and collaborative academic environment. By prioritizing values over individual prestige, academic institutions can implement strategies such as transparent hiring processes, diverse selection committees, and an emphasis on collaboration and behavioral assessments. Promoting values, providing continuous training, and establishing post-hire support systems are crucial steps in cultivating an environment where ethical behavior is prioritized. These efforts not only enhance the integrity of academic institutions but also contribute to a community dedicated to advancing knowledge through collective effort rather than individual ambition. Ultimately, such measures will lead to a more equitable and productive academic landscape, benefiting both the institutions and the broader society they serve.

## References

- Vargo EJ. Organizational narcissism as an adaptive strategy in contemporary academia. *J Acad Ethics* 2023;21:293-302.
- Wang W, Campbell EM, Duffy MK, Liu J. When narcissists exemplify ethics: contingent consequences of ethical leadership. *J Appl Psychol* 2023;108:1372-90.
- Alder GS, Gilbert J. Achieving ethics and fairness in hiring: going beyond the law. *J Bus Ethics* 2006;68:449-64.
- Derfler-Rozin R, Baker B, Gino F. Compromised ethics in hiring processes? How referrers' power affects employees' reactions to referral practices. *Acad Manage J* 2018;61:615-36.
- Ghadimi K. Scientific-academic narcissism a new phenomenon in academic settings. *J Hosp* 2020;19:77-8.
- Oflu C, Baluku MM, Otto K. Career success in the university setting: examining the role of narcissism facets. *Curr Psychol* 2020;41:877-87.
- Pincus AL, Cain NM, Wright AG. Narcissistic grandiosity and narcissistic vulnerability in psychotherapy. *Personal Disord* 2014;5:439-43.
- Brunell AB, Staats S, Barden J, Hupp JM. Narcissism and academic dishonesty: the exhibitionism dimension and the lack of guilt. *Pers Individ Dif* 2011;50:323-8.
- Bergman JZ, Westerman JW, Daly JP. Narcissism in management education. *Acad Manag Learn Educ* 2010;9:119-31.
- Curtis GJ, Slade C, Bretag T, McNeill M. Developing and evaluating nationwide expert-delivered academic integrity workshops for the higher education sector in Australia. *High Educ Res Dev* 2022;41:665-80.
- Glendinning I. Aligning academic quality and standards with academic integrity. In: Eaton SE, Curtis GJ, Stoesz BM, Clare J, Rundle K, Seeland J, eds. *Contract cheating in higher education*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan; 2022. pp 199-218.
- AIT, Bischof L. Study to evaluate the progress in quality assurance systems in the area of higher education in the Member States and on cooperation activities at European Level. 2019. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2766/352582>
- Tiwari M, Jha R. Narcissism, toxic work culture and abusive supervision: a double-edged sword escalating organizational deviance. *Int J Organ Anal* 2022;30:99-114.
- O'Reilly CA, Chatman JA, Doerr B. When "me" trumps "we": Narcissistic leaders and the cultures they create. *Acad Manag Discov* 2021;7:419-50.
- Mousa M, Chaouali W, Aboramadan M, et al. Effects of rectors' narcissism on academics' silence and commitment in the context of public universities. *Int J Organ Anal* 2021;29:974-88.
- O'Reilly CA, Chatman JA. Transformational leader or narcissist? How grandiose narcissists can create and destroy organizations and institutions. *Calif Manage Rev* 2020; 62:5-27.
- Elliott KJ. Can gratitude interpretation bias modification training reduce the effects of narcissism? 2021. Available from: <https://dc.ewu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1679&context=theses>
- Carmeli A, Brammer S, Gomes E, Tarba SY. An organizational ethic of care and employee involvement in sustainability-related behaviors: a social identity perspective. *J Organ Behav* 2017;38:1380-95.
- Florea L, Cheung YH, Herndon NC. For all good reasons: role of values in organizational sustainability. *J Bus Ethics* 2013;114:393-408.
- Mosadeghrad AH. Academic narcissism; the good, the bad and the ugly. *Tehran Univ Med J* 2022;80:511-2.
- Khorram-Manesh A, Burkle FM. Sociopathic narcissistic leadership: how about their victims? *World Med Health Policy* 2024;16:19-36.
- Kjærviik SL, Bushman BJ. The link between narcissism and aggression: a meta-analytic review. *Psychol Bull* 2021;147:477-503.
- Miller JD, Back MD, Lynam DR, Wright AG. Narcissism today: what we know and what we need to learn. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 2021;30:519-25.
- Parker LL. Competition and academic entitlement. Minneapolis: Walden University; 2017. Available from: <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4512&context=dissertations>
- Westerman JW, Bergman JZ, Bergman SM, Daly JP. Are universities creating millennial narcissistic employees? An empirical examination of narcissism in business students and its implications. *J Manag Educ* 2012;36:5-32.
- Macfarlane B. The neoliberal academic: illustrating shifting academic norms in an age of hyper-performativity. *Educ Philos Theory* 2021;53:459-68.
- Wu W, Chang K, Bai L. Serve yourself or serve your students? How and when supervisor narcissism is related to mental health of graduate students. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 2024;17:3555-71.
- Grapsas S, Brummelman E, Back MD, Denissen JJ. The "why" and "how" of narcissism: a process model of narcissistic status pursuit. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2020;15:150-72.
- Casale S, Banchi V. Narcissism and problematic social media use: a systematic literature review. *Addict Behav Rep* 2020; 11:100252.
- Umber S, Ali M, Latif MA. Exploring the relationship between digital narcissism, subjective wellbeing, and social media usage: a case study on GCUF students on Facebook and Instagram. *Remittances Review* 2024;9:812-36.
- Peters W. Is social media spawning digital narcissism? A case study of KwaZulu-Natal digital millennials. 2023. Available from: [https://openscholar.dut.ac.za/bitstream/10321/4920/3/PETERS\\_W\\_2023.pdf](https://openscholar.dut.ac.za/bitstream/10321/4920/3/PETERS_W_2023.pdf)
- Miller JD, Lynam DR, Hyatt CS, Campbell WK. Controversies in narcissism. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2017;13:291-315.
- Cui X. The impact of parenting on later development of the narcissistic trait. *J Educ Humanit Soc Sci* 2023;8:181-6.
- Menon M, Moyes HCA, Bradley CM. Interactive influences of narcissism and self-esteem on insecure attachment in early adolescence. *J Early Adolesc* 2018;38:966-87.
- Knights D, Clarke CA. It's a bittersweet symphony, this life:

- fragile academic selves and insecure identities at work. *Organ Stud* 2014;35:335-57.
36. Khorram-Manesh A, Goniewicz K, Burkle FM Jr. The impacts of narcissistic leadership on achieving the United Nations' sustainable development goals—a scoping review. *Challenges* 2024;15:37.
  37. Friedman H, Friedman LW. A treatise on the jackass in academe: how arrogance and self-centeredness destroy the credibility of higher education. *J Intercol Manag Ethics* 2019;2:9-27.
  38. Milligan M, Mankelwicz JM, See HP. Narcissism as a global barrier to education for sustainable development. *Perspect Educ* 2022;40:29-46.
  39. Pertuz-Peralta V, Arias-Pérez J, Daza-Calier Y. Knowledge sharing among academics: why organizational narcissism in higher education matters? *VINE J Inf Knowl Manag Systems* 2022;52:141-57.
  40. Lynch J, McGregor A, Benson AJ. My way or the highway: narcissism and dysfunctional team conflict processes. *Group Process Integr Relat* 2022;25:1157-71.
  41. Felty R, Whitaker BG, Bergman SM, Bergman JZ. The longitudinal influence of narcissism in the team context. *Int J Organ Theory Behav* 2015;18:147-76.
  42. Zuckerman M, O'Loughlin RE. Narcissism and well-being: a longitudinal perspective. *Eur J Soc Psychol* 2009;39:957-72.
  43. Kokkinos CM, Antoniadou N. Understanding academic dishonesty in university settings: the interplay of dark triad traits and moral disengagement. *J Genet Psychol* 2024;185:309-22.
  44. Khoo SY, Perotti P, Verousis T, Watermeyer R. Vice-chancellor narcissism and university performance. *Res Policy* 2024; 53:104901.
  45. Williams SD, Williams JR. Employee narcissism's implications for performance management: a review and research directions. *Organ Manag J* 2017;14:198-212.
  46. Young SM, Du F, Dworkis KK, Olsen KJ. It's all about all of us: the rise of narcissism and its implications for management control system research. *J Manag Account Res* 2016;28:39-55.
  47. Zajenkowski M, Gignac GE. Ego vs. reality: narcissism and the discrepancy between academic expectations and achievement. *Learn Individ Differ* 2024;112:102466.
  48. McManus KC, Pillow DR, Coyle TR. Narcissism and academic performance: a case of suppression. *Pers Individ Dif* 2022; 199:111820.
  49. Uludag K. Rethinking the publish or do not graduate paradigm: balancing graduation requirements and scientific integrity. In: Morris GR, Kozuch S, eds. *Engaging higher education teachers and students with transnational leadership*. Hershey, PA: IGI Global; 2024. pp 165-77.
  50. Meirmans S. How competition for funding impacts scientific practice: building pre-fab houses but no cathedrals. *Sci Eng Ethics* 2024;30:6.
  51. Çimşir E, Ülker Tümlü G. The roles of latent perfectionism classes in academicians' tendencies toward workaholism, useless superiority effort and narcissism. *J Gen Psychol* 2022; 149:524-49.
  52. Day NJ, Townsend ML, Grenyer BF. Living with pathological narcissism: a qualitative study. *Borderline Personal Disord Emot Dysregul* 2020;7:19.
  53. Samier EA, Atkins T. Preventing and combating administrative narcissism: implications for professional programmes. *J Educ Adm* 2010;48:579-94.
  54. Munro D, Bore M, Powis D. Personality factors in professional ethical behaviour: studies of empathy and narcissism. *Aust J Psychol* 2005;57:49-60.
  55. Jahanzeb S, Raja U. Does ethical climate overcome the effect of supervisor narcissism on employee creativity? *Appl Psychol* 2024;73:1287-308.
  56. Mohsin AMA, Basheer SZA, Taib FM. Navigating the darkness: unveiling the link between dark triad traits, human governance, and deviant workplace behaviour. *Int J Relig* 2024;5:1887-98.
  57. Asamoah MK. Ethics power for effective leadership in the academia. *Int J Ethics Educ* 2023;8:5-28.
  58. Orupabo J, Mangset M. Promoting diversity but striving for excellence: opening the 'black box' of academic hiring. *Sociology* 2022;56:316-32.
  59. Shubeck SP, Newman EA, Vitous CA, et al. Hiring practices of US academic surgery departments—challenges and opportunities for more inclusive hiring. *J Surg Res* 2020;254:23-30.
  60. Keller PA, Murray JD, Hargrove DS. Creating ethical academic cultures within psychology programs. In: Knapp SJ, Gottlieb MC, Handelsman MM, VandeCreek LD, eds. *APA handbook of ethics in psychology*, vol. 2. Practice, teaching, and research. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2012. pp 219-60.
  61. Turner CSV. *Diversifying the faculty: A guidebook for search committees*. Washington, DC: American Association of Colleges and Universities; 2002.
  62. Vivek R. Is blind recruitment an effective recruitment method. *Int J Appl Res Bus Manag* 2022;3:56-72.
  63. Raghavan M, Barocas S, Kleinberg J, Levy K. Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: evaluating claims and practices. In: Hildebrandt M, Castillo C, Celis E, Ruggieri S, Taylor L, Zanfir-Fortuna G, eds. *FAT\* '20: proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*. Association for Computing Machinery; 2020. pp 469-81.
  64. Schumann C, Foster J, Mattei N, Dickerson J. We need fairness and explainability in algorithmic hiring. In: Seghrouchni AEF, Sukthankar PG, eds. *AAMAS '19: International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems; 2020. pp 1716-20.
  65. Cahn PS, Gona CM, Naidoo K, Truong KA. Disrupting bias without trainings: the effect of equity advocates on faculty search committees. *Innov High Educ* 2022;47:253-72.
  66. Bhalla N. Strategies to improve equity in faculty hiring. *Mol Biol Cell* 2019;30:2744-9.
  67. Burroughs EA. Reducing bias in faculty searches. *AMS Notices* 2017;64:1304-7.
  68. Gul S, Gul S, Gul MS, et al. Self assessment interview bot. *Int J Emerg Eng Technol* 2023;2:110-9.
  69. dos Santos NR, Pais L, Leitão CC, Passmore J. Ethics in recruitment and selection. In: Goldstein H, Pulakos E, Passmore J, Semedo C, eds. *The Wiley Blackwell handbook of the psychology of recruitment, selection and employee retention*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2017. pp 91-112.
  70. Ashkewe MD. The impact of accountability in employment equity and minority hiring situations. 2001. Available from: [https://library2.smu.ca/bitstream/handle/01/22700/ashkewe\\_maria\\_d\\_masters\\_2001.PDF?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](https://library2.smu.ca/bitstream/handle/01/22700/ashkewe_maria_d_masters_2001.PDF?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
  71. Ruben BD, De Lisi R, Gigliotti RA. *A guide for leaders in higher education: core concepts, competencies, and tools*. New York: Routledge; 2023.
  72. Dickeson RC. *Prioritizing academic programs and services: reallocating resources to achieve strategic balance*. San Francisco, CA: Wiley; 2010.
  73. Xie Z, Liu Y, Liu Y, Xu L. Unveiling greenwashing dynamics: exploring the nexus between transparent corporate practices, employee perspectives, and ethical leadership impact. *Environ Dev Sustain* 2024. doi: 10.1007/s10668-024-04665-8
  74. Al Halbusi H, Williams KA, Mansoor HO, et al. Examining the impact of ethical leadership and organizational justice on employees' ethical behavior: does person-organization fit play a role? *Ethics Behav* 2020;30:514-32.